

eInduction

MTAC 138

11.12.2014

Agenda

- Roll Call + Meeting Minutes
- eInduction Status
- Industry Issues List
- 100% eInduction Coverage of Mailer Shipments
- MDF/Labeling List Effective Dates
- Action Items
- Questions

Reference Material: **MTAC138_IndustryIssuesList_11122014.xls**



MTAC138_2014_11_
12.pptx

Attendees

- | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| ▪ Alice Manack | ▪ Nancy Garrison | ▪ Jennifer Howard |
| ▪ Andy Phillips | ▪ Patricia Pizzutillo | ▪ Garrett Hoyt |
| ▪ Andy Taylor | ▪ Peter Arsenault | ▪ Jacqueline Chen |
| ▪ Annette Rekowski | ▪ Rich Domagala | ▪ James Whitcomb |
| ▪ Beth Bigelow | ▪ Richard Schmidt | ▪ Judy Arkenau |
| ▪ Beth Lavati | ▪ Rob Dorre | ▪ Kevin Elkin |
| ▪ Bob Galaher | ▪ Tom Glassman | ▪ Katherine Murphy |
| ▪ Bob Rosser | ▪ Tony Allighen | ▪ Ann Klein |
| ▪ Bob Schimek | ▪ Angelo Anagnostopoulos | ▪ Linda Gustason |
| ▪ Charley Howard | ▪ 'John Whittington | ▪ Mark Porter |
| ▪ Cheryl Overfield | ▪ 'Kurt Ruppel | ▪ Mack Kelsey |
| ▪ Chris Sedita | ▪ 'Lisa Bowes' | ▪ Michele DeGuido |
| ▪ Craig Howles | ▪ 'Phil Thompson' | ▪ Michelle Zalewski |
| ▪ Dave Higgins | ▪ 'Rob Fentner' | ▪ Mike Smith |
| ▪ Doug Gamroth | ▪ 'Steve Colella' | ▪ Dilip Patel |
| ▪ Eduardo Suarez | ▪ Andy Moyer | ▪ Rose Olmsted |
| ▪ Gary Kisker | ▪ Anita Pursley | ▪ Saline Jiang |
| ▪ Jack Widener | ▪ Anne Skroch | ▪ Sean Dick |
| ▪ Jason Kiefer | ▪ Braden McCollum | ▪ Melissa Scheidler |
| ▪ Jeff Graham | ▪ Gail Breed | ▪ Dian Smith |
| ▪ Jesse Davidson | ▪ Brian Smith | ▪ Laurie Staker |
| ▪ Jim Strasser | ▪ Alexis Broadhurst-Ross | ▪ Krejcik Steven |
| ▪ Joy Franckowiak | ▪ Cathy Irvin | ▪ Tony Cheever |
| ▪ Joyce McGarvy | ▪ Danielle Capalite | ▪ Sonja Twombly |
| ▪ Kris Larson | ▪ Debbie Cooper | ▪ Bryan Watt |
| ▪ Kurt Hoffman | ▪ Don Prentice | ▪ Lauren Zalewski |
| ▪ Lloyd Moss | ▪ David Gorham | ▪ Arlene Zisow |
| ▪ Lori Snyder | ▪ Debbie Cooper | ▪ Kevin Bray |
| ▪ Lynda Hurley | ▪ Ellen Kern | ▪ Dewitt Crawford |
| ▪ Michael Patterson | ▪ Anthony Frost | ▪ Shawn Graves |
| ▪ Michael Tickle | ▪ Grant Dudinsky | ▪ Lindsay Ewy |
| ▪ Mike Seidel | ▪ Uni Han-Norton | ▪ Edward Wanta |

Notes

Roll Call + Review Meeting Minutes

- The group is sun setting after the face-to-face meeting next Thursday. The first two meetings of the FAST UG 3 will cover the errors, specifically regarding the description and causation of the errors
- The issues list is being moved over after this meeting as the group completed the development of a product and the writing of requirements
- Meeting minutes from October 29th meeting will be distributed and posted on MITS
- Confirm items closed
 - For non-SV solution: Confirm if there is no content available in FAST if an error will occur
 - Provide industry with information and data to determine differences between mis-ship reporting from SV and non SV

eInduction Status

eInduction Adoption

- Several customers are waiting for the non-SV solution to be deployed nationally before adopting eInduction
- The PVDS Scan compliance is around 91%. There were compliance disruptions due to SV software upgrades and the rolling effect of these upgrades

Industry Issues List

- Fifteen issues are closed, nine are migrating to FAST UG 3 and ten are migrating to MTAC WG #163
- Reference #19
 - USPS presented the products for 100% eInduction Coverage of Mailer Shipments
- Reference #30
 - This issue relates to misship error thresholds. Once the USPS deploys the non SV process, the team will then revisit the thresholds
 - There was consensus to move this issue to FAST UG 3 instead of WG #163
 - USPS will look at the thresholds after the non-SV sites are brought online. A more intelligent decision can be made once participation has increased and there are larger quantities of mail shipments
 - With more data, the USPS will be able to better understand the errors, who is causing the errors, and how the errors are distributed among certain classes of mail. With this understanding, the USPS will be able to create equitable thresholds

100% eInduction Coverage of Mailer Shipments

- Cover all mailings that require PS 8125 or PS 8017
- Current Gaps
 - DDU entry points, non-Postal Facilities, Mailings with non-palletized containers (Bedload, loose trays and sacks), Package Services and Parcels, Priority and Priority Express, non-Barcoded
- **Q:** Why “except BPM parcels”?
 - **A:** The solution is to do eInduction for BPM (flag the containers as eInduction), but for the other varieties it is not as simple. USPS needs to look into the other package services and parcels more closely
- **Q:** What do you mean about non-barcoded?
 - **A:** USPS found an eDoc mailer that was not barcoding a single thing. There is a big gap here in providing visibility to mailers such as clearance to ship or what the errors were

MDF/Labeling List Effective Dates

- Data used to determine effective date: Pre Induction: Postage Statement Mailing date; Post Induction: Actual Induction Date
- USPS put actual month on this MDF/Labeling List chart
- There is concern about warnings when acceptance/induction are in different months
- The proposal is to use Scheduled Induction or In-home Dates vice Postage Statement Mailing Date
 - There was a late change and Pritha directed the creation of a CR to incorporate Scheduled Induction date into the pre-induction validation process
 - If present, Schedules Induction/In-Home Date is used. Otherwise it will default to Postage Statement Mailing Date
- That CR is in process and there will be an update through UG 3, 9 or 1. Before the meeting USPS will clarify the status on that release
- **USPS Action:** Regardless of where the CR update is moved to, the eInduction team will keep track of this item and update the group in UG 3
- **Q:** If scheduled induction date is present, then in the previous example, all of the warnings would not show up?
 - **A:** Yes
 - **USPS Action:** USPS to include an example slide and put it the next weeks deck

Action Items

- Provide draft of eInduction Certification to industry
- Review and discuss the value of incentive for 100% eInduction
- Explain how data from non-SV site verifications are shown on report
- Confirm *PostalOne!* data comparison for a paid container
- USPS does not want customers submitting eInduction containers on an 8125, when USPS sees that, we reach back to the customer to discuss and figure out the
- Share the Helpdesk log and files with the FAST USER GROUP
- See Action Items slide

Non SV Site Update

- USPS started out with 5 pilot sites September 15th
- The pilot ran into hurdles 1) was availability of scanners which will be fixed in January 2) Verifying all the scanners in plants are correctly configured
- USPS has reached out to areas and six other sites have committed
 - With those sites being added, USPS will send mailers in the pilot an entire list
 - January 2015 (26th) national deployment at that point
- **Q:** They aren't going to do the logic, all sites accept the product?
 - **A:** At non-SV sites yes, there is no container manifest to compare. It includes DDU's as well.
 - USPS will send a list of expanded sites

USPS appreciates the help from the pilot customers.

Agenda for next meeting

- Talk about a few more topics and talk about what the USPS will hand to the other groups
- The WG will sun set after the face-face meeting

Meeting Summary and Major Outcomes

- Finished the issues list. No open items remaining

- Reviewed 100% eInduction Coverage of Mailer Shipments
- Reviewed the MDF labeling list, a CR is in process

Action Items: 10/22 Meeting

<i>Date Created</i>	<i>Date Due</i>	<i>Action Item</i>	<i>Task Owner</i>
11.12	Next MTAC Meeting	USPS Action: Regardless of where the CR update is moved to (MDF labeling list), the eInduction team will keep track of this item and update the group in UG 3	EIN Team
11.12	Next MTAC Meeting	USPS Action: USPS will include an example slide of the MDF labeling list and put it in next weeks deck	EIN Team